

UNITED STATES PATENTIAND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/066,202	02/01/2002	Georges Cronimus	METPAT P59AUS	5330
20210	7590 10/08/2003		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			RAEVIS, ROBERT R	
FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			2856	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati n No. Applicant(s) 10/066,202 CRONIMUS, GEORGES	1/					
Office Action Summany						
Offic Action Summary Examiner Art Unit						
Robert R. Raevis 2856	_					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/066,202

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flap valve (claim 21) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 20 to 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 20, "specifically" is indefinite. Also, "designed" how? What does the "interior housing (30)" (line 3) correspond to in Figure 2? Finally, the phrase "without any need to previously disassemble ... the closing device" (last two lines) is not accurate, as the disclosure describes that door 39 must be disassembled from the "closing device" prior insertion/removal of the detector.

As to claim 23, shaft 17 is not part of the valve. Specifically, the shaft 17 appears to be claim 1's "housing", and thus the housing is claimed twice. In addition, note that 6, lines 44-45 state that the shaft is part of the closing device, and not the valve.

As to claim 25, isn't the coupling element 24 the same structure that includes the opening 38 of claim 20? The same element is being claimed twice. Apparently, the "portion of the closing device" (of claim 1) further comprises the "coupling element 24" of

Application/Control Number: 10/066,202

Art Unit: 2856

claim 25. Also, isn't the shaft 17 the same as claim 1's housing? Apparently, the same element is being claimed twice.

As to claim 30, "the lateral door" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 38, contact ring, blocking head, spirals and casing all lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 34, the blocking head and the shaft both lack antecedent basis.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 20-23, 28, 31, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Huang.

Huang discloses a closing device for a valve, including a housing 11, 2 that holds the probe 42, the handle having an opening 22 that is accessible, the opening offering access to its interior housing and allowing the probe to be inserted or removed without any need to disassemble the valve or closing device 11, 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,202

Art Unit: 2856

As to claims 20-23, 28, 33, either the indicator 4 is removable/replaceable as the threads 32 allow for turning when the valve is either in the fully open or fully closed position.

As to claim 31, either element 11 or 2 may be deemed a support element.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Andersen places a temperature sensor in a valve.

Brekke takes measurements of temperature by suing the same element to control a valve and carry the sensor.

- 7. No claim could be deemed to contain allowable material in view of excessive 112(2) issues, especially due to the difficulty to claim 20's phrase "without any need to previously disassemble ... the closing device" (last two lines).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

ROUSE AUZ856 RAZVIS